

From:
Sent: Friday, 19 February 2016 1:55 PM
To: records
Subject: DA0367/2015

I'd like to register a complaint about receiving too short notice regarding development DA0367/2015. Any development will directly impact my business, and I'm sure my business will directly impact the residences immediately behind my rear deck, and time is needed to consider the nature of this and what grounds there are to support or oppose the development itself.

I request further consultation time with the community and the owners of businesses and owners of the buildings immediately around the proposed development.

Regards

Nina Armstrong
Owner

From: Chris Antypas
Sent: Friday, 19 February 2016 12:08 AM
To: records
Cc: Chris Antypas
Subject: RE:DA0367/2015 5/43-47 Elizabeth St Launceston

Good afternoon,

Just noted this development proposed for the current parking area office. A reminder to council that I recall the developers who sold the apartments upstairs some years ago sold them with the deception that they had allocated parking in the rear where this development is proposed. It has been noted that several of the landlords under our Body Corporate are concerned that this has not at least been addressed.

Happy to discuss.

Best regards,

Chris

From: Nigel
Sent: Thursday, 18 February 2016 1:47 PM
To: records
Subject: 5/43-47 Elizabeth Street building application DA0367/2015

Re above building proposal of 4 townhouses within an existing carpark, we wish to object on the grounds of loss of access to our property both while building and when complete.

The proposal does not seem to take into account fair access and egress for the existing title owners (4 of) property(s). How can we all be guaranteed access whilst multiple contractors are demolishing and building 4 townhouses in such a small space?

What consideration has been made for sufficient space for turning of vehicles into & out of carparks? The Parking and Turning site plan looks

almost exclusively at the new development cars ability to turn and manoeuvre. It assumes only one car onsite next to Lot 1 43 whereas in that same space there are 2 cars.

When finished there will be up to 13 cars trying to turn & park in an area less than half the current size where 15 are now.

Kind Regards

Nigel Jones ADipFS(FP)
Paul von Stieglitz ADipFS(FP)

From: cate bick
Sent: Thursday, 18 February 2016 11:51 AM
To: Council
Subject: Re: DA 0367/2015 Urban Design Solutions

To Pip Glover

I am writing to your Planning Permit Dept, as owner/residents of [redacted] Launceston. Also concerning our car park rented space, where this proposed development is to occur.

As our residence here at the [redacted] is Heritage Listed circa 1860, we have our concerns regarding the impact of traffic alone that causes cracking in the walls here....as to the impact of further major building development affecting these existing Heritage buildings, is there an engineers assessment occurring?

It does seem too high density a plan of four dwellings proposed on this site.

As rate payers we object to this application.

Regards

Mr Richard Carroll

Ms Cate Bick MA UniNSW